

University of Groningen

Observation of the Resonant Character of the $Z(4430)(-)$ State

Aaij, R.; Adeva, B.; Adinolfi, M.; Affolder, A.; Ajaltouni, Z.; Albrecht, J.; Alessio, F.; Alexander, M.; Ali, S.; Alkhazov, G.

Published in:
Physical Review Letters

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.222002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.222002)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2014

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Aaij, R., Adeva, B., Adinolfi, M., Affolder, A., Ajaltouni, Z., Albrecht, J., Alessio, F., Alexander, M., Ali, S., Alkhazov, G., Alvarez Cartelle, P., Alves, A. A., Amato, S., Amerio, S., Amhis, Y., An, L., Anderlini, L., Anderson, J., Andreassen, R., ... LHCb Collaboration (2014). Observation of the Resonant Character of the $Z(4430)(-)$ State. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(22), [222002].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.222002>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Observation of the Resonant Character of the $Z(4430)^-$ State

R. Aaij *et al.**

(LHCb Collaboration)

(Received 7 April 2014; published 4 June 2014)

Resonant structures in $B^0 \rightarrow \psi' \pi^- K^+$ decays are analyzed by performing a four-dimensional fit of the decay amplitude, using pp collision data corresponding to 3 fb^{-1} collected with the LHCb detector. The data cannot be described with $K^+ \pi^-$ resonances alone, which is confirmed with a model-independent approach. A highly significant $Z(4430)^- \rightarrow \psi' \pi^-$ component is required, thus confirming the existence of this state. The observed evolution of the $Z(4430)^-$ amplitude with the $\psi' \pi^-$ mass establishes the resonant nature of this particle. The mass and width measurements are substantially improved. The spin parity is determined unambiguously to be 1^+ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.222002

PACS numbers: 14.40.Rt, 13.25.Gv, 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd

The existence of charged charmonium-like states has been a topic of much debate since the Belle Collaboration found evidence for a narrow $Z(4430)^-$ peak, with width $\Gamma = 45_{-13}^{+18+30}$ MeV, in the $\psi' \pi^-$ mass distribution ($m_{\psi' \pi^-}$) in $B \rightarrow \psi' K \pi^-$ decays ($K = K_s^0$ or K^+) [1,2]. As the minimal quark content of such a state is $c\bar{c}d\bar{u}$, this observation could be interpreted as the first unambiguous evidence for the existence of mesons beyond the traditional $q\bar{q}$ model [3]. This has contributed to a broad theoretical interest in this state [4–20]. Exotic $\chi_{c1,2} \pi^-$ structures were also reported by the Belle collaboration in $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1,2} K \pi^-$ decays [21]. Using the $K^* \rightarrow K \pi^-$ invariant mass ($m_{K\pi^-}$) and helicity angle (θ_{K^*}) [22–24] distributions, the BABAR Collaboration was able to describe the observed $m_{\psi' \pi^-}$ and $m_{\chi_{c1,2} \pi^-}$ structures in terms of reflections of any K^* states with spin $J \leq 3$ ($J \leq 1$ for $m_{K\pi^-} < 1.2$ GeV) without invoking exotic resonances [25,26]. However, the BABAR results did not contradict the Belle evidence for the $Z(4430)^-$ state. The Belle Collaboration subsequently updated their $Z(4430)^-$ results with a two-dimensional [27], and later a four-dimensional (4D), amplitude analysis [28] resulting in a $Z(4430)^-$ significance of 5.2σ , a mass of $M_{Z^-} = 4485 \pm 22_{-11}^{+28}$ MeV, a large width of $\Gamma_{Z^-} = 200_{-46-35}^{+41+26}$ MeV, an amplitude fraction (defined further below) of $f_{Z^-} = (10.3_{-3.5-2.3}^{+3.0+4.3})\%$ and spin-parity $J^P = 1^+$ favored over the other assignments by more than 3.4σ . Other candidates for charged four-quark states have been reported in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$ [29,30], $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ [31,32], $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$ [33], and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (D^*\bar{D}^*)^\pm \pi^\mp$ [34] processes.

In this Letter, we report a 4D model-dependent amplitude fit to a sample of $25\,176 \pm 174$ $B^0 \rightarrow \psi' K^+ \pi^-$,

$\psi' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ candidates reconstructed with the LHCb detector in pp collision data corresponding to 3 fb^{-1} collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV. The tenfold increase in signal yield over the previous measurement [28] improves sensitivity to exotic states and allows their resonant nature to be studied in a novel way. We complement the amplitude fit with a model-independent approach [25].

The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, described in detail in Ref. [35]. The B^0 candidate selection follows that in Ref. [36] accounting for the different number of final-state pions. It is based on finding $(\psi' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)K^+\pi^-$ candidates using particle identification information, transverse momentum thresholds, and requiring separation of the tracks and of the B^0 vertex from the primary pp interaction points. To improve modeling of the detection efficiency, we exclude regions near the $K^+\pi^-$ vs $\psi' \pi^-$ Dalitz plot boundary, which reduces the sample size by 12%. The background fraction is determined from the B^0 candidate invariant mass distribution to be $(4.1 \pm 0.1)\%$. The background is dominated by combinations of ψ' mesons from B decays with random kaons and pions.

Amplitude models are fit to the data using the unbinned maximum likelihood method. We follow the formalism and notation of Ref. [28] with the 4D amplitude dependent on $\Phi = (m_{K^+\pi^-}^2, m_{\psi' \pi^-}^2, \cos \theta_{\psi'}, \phi)$, where $\theta_{\psi'}$ is the ψ' helicity angle and ϕ is the angle between the K^* and ψ' decay planes in the B^0 rest frame. The signal probability density function (PDF) $S(\Phi)$ is normalized by summing over simulated events. Since the simulated events are passed through the detector simulation [37], this approach implements 4D efficiency corrections without use of a parametrization. We use B^0 mass sidebands to obtain a parametrization of the background PDF.

As in Ref. [28], our amplitude model includes all known $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ resonances with nominal mass within or slightly above the kinematic limit (1593 MeV) in $B^0 \rightarrow \psi' K^+ \pi^-$ decays: $K_0^*(800)$, $K_0^*(1430)$ for $J = 0$; $K^*(892)$,

* Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published articles title, journal citation, and DOI.

$K^*(1410)$ and $K^*(1680)$ for $J = 1$; $K_2^*(1430)$ for $J = 2$; and $K_3^*(1780)$ for $J = 3$. We also include a nonresonant (NR) $J = 0$ term in the fits. We fix the masses and widths of the resonances to the world average values [38], except for the widths of the two dominant contributions, $K^*(892)$ and $K_2^*(1430)$, and the poorly known $K_0^*(800)$ mass and width, which are allowed to float in the fit with Gaussian constraints. As an alternative $J = 0$ model, we use the LASS parametrization [39,40], in which the NR and $K_0^*(800)$ components are replaced with an elastic scattering term (two free parameters) interfering with the $K_0^*(1430)$ resonance.

To probe the quality of the likelihood fits, we calculate a binned χ^2 variable using adaptive 4D binning, in which we split the data once in $|\cos\theta_{\psi'}|$, twice in ϕ , and then repeatedly in $m_{K^+\pi^-}^2$ and $m_{\psi'\pi^-}^2$, preserving any bin content above 20 events, for a total of $N_{\text{bin}} = 768$ bins. Simulations of many pseudoexperiments, each with the same number of signal and background events as in the data sample, show that the p value of the χ^2 test (p_{χ^2}) has an approximately uniform distribution assuming that the number of degrees of freedom (NDF) equals $N_{\text{bin}} - N_{\text{par}} - 1$, where N_{par} is the number of unconstrained parameters in the fit. Fits with all K^* components and either of the two different $J = 0$ models do not give a satisfactory description of the data; the p_{χ^2} is below 2×10^{-6} , equivalent to 4.8σ in the Gaussian distribution. If the $K_3^*(1780)$ component is excluded from the amplitude, the discrepancy increases to 6.3σ .

This is supported by an independent study using the model-independent approach developed by the BABAR Collaboration [25,26], which does not constrain the analysis to any combination of known K^* resonances, but merely restricts their maximal spin. We determine the Legendre polynomial moments of $\cos\theta_{K^*}$ as a function of $m_{K^+\pi^-}$ from the sideband-subtracted and efficiency-corrected sample of $B^0 \rightarrow \psi' K^+ \pi^-$ candidates. Together with the observed $m_{K^+\pi^-}$ distribution, the moments corresponding to $J \leq 2$ are reflected into the $m_{\psi'\pi^-}$ distribution using simulations as described in Ref. [25]. As shown in Fig. 1, the K^* reflections do not describe the data in the $Z(4430)^-$ region. Since a $Z(4430)^-$ resonance would contribute to the $\cos\theta_{K^*}$ moments, and also interfere with the K^* resonances, it is not possible to determine the $Z(4430)^-$ parameters using this approach. The amplitude fit is used instead, as discussed below.

If a $Z(4430)^-$ component with $J^P = 1^+$ (hereafter Z_1^-) is added to the amplitude, the p_{χ^2} reaches 4% when all the $K^* \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ resonances with a pole mass below the kinematic limit are included. The p_{χ^2} rises to 12% if the $K^*(1680)$ is added (see Fig. 2), but fails to improve when the $K_3^*(1780)$ is also included. Therefore, as in Ref. [28] we choose to estimate the Z_1^- parameters using the model with the $K^*(1680)$ as the heaviest K^* resonance. In Ref. [28] two independent complex Z_1^- helicity couplings, $H_{\lambda'}^{Z_1^-}$ for $\lambda' = 0, +1$ (parity conservation requires $H_{-1}^{Z_1^-} = H_{+1}^{Z_1^-}$), were




FIG. 1 (color online). Background-subtracted and efficiency-corrected $m_{\psi'\pi^-}$ distribution (black data points), superimposed with the reflections of $\cos\theta_{K^*}$ moments up to order 4, allowing for $J(K^*) \leq 2$ (blue line) and their correlated statistical uncertainty (yellow band bounded by blue dashed lines). The distributions have been normalized to unity.

allowed to float in the fit. The small energy release in the Z_1^- decay suggests neglecting D -wave decays. A likelihood-ratio test is used to discriminate between any pair of amplitude models based on the log-likelihood difference $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ [41]. The D -wave contribution is found to be insignificant when allowed in the fit, 1.3σ assuming Wilks's theorem [42]. Thus, we assume a pure S -wave decay, implying $H_{+1}^{Z_1^-} = H_0^{Z_1^-}$. The significance of the Z_1^- is evaluated from the likelihood ratio of the fits without and with the Z_1^- component. Since the condition of the likelihood regularity in Z_1^- mass and width is not satisfied when the no- Z_1^- hypothesis is imposed, use of Wilks's theorem is not justified [43,44]. Therefore, pseudoexperiments are used to predict the distribution of $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ under the no- Z_1^- hypothesis, which is found to be well described by a χ^2 PDF with NDF = 7.5. Conservatively, we assume NDF = 8, twice the number of free parameters in the Z_1^- amplitude. This yields a Z_1^- significance for the default K^* model of 18.7σ . The lowest significance among all the systematic variations to the model discussed below is 13.9σ .

The default fit gives $M_{Z_1^-} = 4475 \pm 7$ MeV, $\Gamma_{Z_1^-} = 172 \pm 13$ MeV, $f_{Z_1^-} = (5.9 \pm 0.9)\%$, $f_{\text{NR}} = (0.3 \pm 0.8)\%$, $f_{K_0^*(800)} = (3.2 \pm 2.2)\%$, $f_{K^*(892)} = (59.1 \pm 0.9)\%$, $f_{K^*(1410)} = (1.7 \pm 0.8)\%$, $f_{K_0^*(1430)} = (3.6 \pm 1.1)\%$, $f_{K_2^*(1430)} = (7.0 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $f_{K^*(1680)} = (4.0 \pm 1.5)\%$, which are consistent with the Belle results [28] even without considering systematic uncertainties. Above, the amplitude fraction of any component R is defined as $f_R = \int S_R(\Phi) d\Phi / \int S(\Phi) d\Phi$, where in $S_R(\Phi)$ all except the R amplitude terms are set to zero. The sum of all amplitude fractions is not 100% because of interference effects. To assign systematic errors, we vary the K^* models by removing the $K^*(1680)$ or adding the $K_3^*(1780)$ in the amplitude ($f_{K_3^*(1780)} = (0.5 \pm 0.2)\%$), use




FIG. 2 (color online). Distributions of the fit variables (black data points) together with the projections of the 4D fit. The red solid (brown dashed) histogram represents the total amplitude with (without) the Z_1^- . The other points illustrate various subcomponents of the fit that includes the Z_1^- : the upper (lower) blue points represent the Z_1^- component removed (taken alone). The orange, magenta, cyan, yellow, green, and red points represent the $K^*(892)$, total S -wave, $K^*(1410)$, $K^*(1680)$, $K_2^*(1430)$, and background terms, respectively.

the LASS function as an alternative K^* S -wave representation, float all K^* masses and widths while constraining them to the known values [38] within their measured uncertainties, allow a second Z_1^- component, increase the orbital angular momentum assumed in the B^0 decay, allow a D -wave component in the Z_1^- decay, change the effective hadron size in the Blatt-Weisskopf form factors from the default 1.6 [28] to 3.0 GeV^{-1} , let the background fraction float in the fit or neglect the background altogether, tighten the selection criteria probing the efficiency simulation, and use alternative efficiency and background implementations in the fit. We also evaluate the systematic uncertainty from the formulation of the resonant amplitude. In the default fit, we follow the approach of Eq. (2) in Ref. [28] that uses a running mass M_R in the $(p_R/M_R)^{L_R}$ term, where M_R is the invariant mass of two daughters of the R resonance; p_R is the daughter's momentum in the rest frame of R and L_R is the orbital angular momentum of the decay. The more conventional formulation [38,45] is to use $p_R^{L_R}$ (equivalent to a fixed M_R mass). This changes the Z_1^- parameters via the K^* terms in the amplitude model: $M_{Z_1^-}$ varies by -22 MeV, $\Gamma_{Z_1^-}$ by $+29$ MeV, and $f_{Z_1^-}$ by $+1.7\%$ (the p_{χ^2} drops to 7%). Adding all systematic errors in quadrature we obtain $M_{Z_1^-} = 4475 \pm 7^{+15}_{-25}$ MeV, $\Gamma_{Z_1^-} = 172 \pm 13^{+37}_{-34}$ MeV, and $f_{Z_1^-} = (5.9 \pm 0.9^{+1.5}_{-3.3})\%$. We also calculate a fraction of Z_1^- that includes its interferences with

the K^* resonances as $f_{Z_1^-}^I = 1 - \int S_{\text{no-}Z_1^-}(\Phi) d\Phi / \int S(\Phi) d\Phi$, where the Z_1^- term in $S_{\text{no-}Z_1^-}(\Phi)$ is set to zero. This fraction $(16.7 \pm 1.6^{+4.5}_{-5.2})\%$ is much larger than $f_{Z_1^-}$, implying large constructive interference.

To discriminate between various J^P assignments we determine the $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ between the different spin hypotheses. Following the method of Ref. [28], we exclude the 0^- hypothesis in favor of the 1^+ assignment at 25.7σ in the fits with the default K^* model. Such a large rejection level is expected according to the $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ distribution of the pseudoexperiments generated under the 1^+ hypothesis. For large data samples, assuming a $\chi^2(\text{NDF} = 1)$ distribution for $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ under the disfavored J^P hypothesis gives a lower limit on the significance of its rejection [46]. This method gives more than 17.8σ rejection. Since the latter method is conservative and provides sufficient rejection, we employ it while studying systematic effects. Among all systematic variations described above, allowing the $K_3^*(1780)$ in the fit produces the weakest rejection. Relative to 1^+ , we rule out the 0^- , 1^- , 2^+ , and 2^- hypotheses by at least 9.7σ , 15.8σ , 16.1σ , and 14.6σ , respectively. This reinforces the 5.1σ (4.7σ) rejection of the 2^+ (2^-) hypotheses previously reported by the Belle Collaboration [28], and confirms the 3.4σ (3.7σ) indications from Belle that 1^+ is favored over 0^- (1^-). The




FIG. 3 (color online). Fitted values of the Z_1^- amplitude in six $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ bins, shown in an Argand diagram (connected points with the error bars, $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ increases counterclockwise). The red curve is the prediction from the Breit-Wigner formula with a resonance mass (width) of 4475 (172) MeV and magnitude scaled to intersect the bin with the largest magnitude centered at $(4477 \text{ MeV})^2$. Units are arbitrary. The phase convention assumes the helicity-zero $K^*(892)$ amplitude to be real.

positive parity rules out the possibility that the $Z(4430)^-$ state is a $\bar{D}^*(2007)D_1(2420)$ threshold effect as proposed in Refs. [4,14].

In the amplitude fit, the Z_1^- is represented by a Breit-Wigner amplitude, where the magnitude and phase vary with $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ according to an approximately circular trajectory in the $(\text{Re } A^{Z-}, \text{Im } A^{Z-})$ plane (Argand diagram [38]), where A^{Z-} is the $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ dependent part of the Z_1^- amplitude. We perform an additional fit to the data, in which we represent the Z_1^- amplitude as the combination of independent complex amplitudes at six equidistant points in the $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ range covering the Z_1^- peak, 18.0–21.5 GeV^2 . Thus, the K^* and the Z_1^- components are no longer influenced in the fit by the assumption of a Breit-Wigner amplitude for the Z_1^- . The resulting Argand diagram, shown in Fig. 3, is consistent with a rapid change of the Z_1^- phase when its magnitude reaches the maximum, a behavior characteristic of a resonance.

If a second Z^- resonance is allowed in the amplitude with $J^P = 0^-$ (Z_0^-) the p_{χ^2} of the fit improves to 26%. The Z_0^- significance from the $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ is 6σ including the systematic variations. It peaks at a lower mass $4239 \pm 18^{+45}_{-10}$ MeV, and has a larger width $220 \pm 47^{+108}_{-74}$ MeV, with a much smaller fraction, $f_{Z_0^-} = (1.6 \pm 0.5^{+1.9}_{-0.4})\%$ ($f_{Z_0^-}^I = (2.4 \pm 1.1^{+1.7}_{-0.2})\%$) than the Z_1^- . With the default K^* model, 0^- is preferred over $1^-, 2^-,$ and 2^+ by 8σ . The preference over 1^+ is only 1σ . However, the width in the 1^+ fit becomes implausibly large, 660 ± 150 MeV. The Z_0^- has the same mass and width as one of the $\chi_{c1}\pi^-$ states reported previously [21], but a 0^- state cannot decay strongly to $\chi_{c1}\pi^-$. Figure 4 compares the $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ projections




FIG. 4 (color online). Distribution of $m_{\psi'\pi-}^2$ in the data (black points) for $1.0 < m_{K^+\pi^-}^2 < 1.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ [$K^*(892)$, $K_2^*(1430)$ veto region] compared with the fit with two, 0^- and 1^+ (solid-line red histogram) and only one 1^+ (dashed-line green histogram) Z^- resonances. Individual Z^- terms (blue points) are shown for the fit with two Z^- resonances.

of the fits with both Z_0^- and Z_1^- , or the Z_1^- component only. The model-independent analysis has a large statistical uncertainty in the Z_0^- region and shows no deviations of the data from the reflections of the K^* degrees of freedom (Fig. 1). Argand diagram studies for the Z_0^- are inconclusive. Therefore, its characterization as a resonance will need confirmation when larger samples become available.

In summary, an amplitude fit to a large sample of $B^0 \rightarrow \psi' K^+ \pi^-$ decays provides the first independent confirmation of the existence of the $Z(4430)^-$ resonance and establishes its spin parity to be 1^+ , both with very high significance. The positive parity rules out the interpretation in terms of $\bar{D}^*(2007)D_1(2420)$ [4,14] or $\bar{D}^*(2007)D_2^*(2460)$ threshold effects, leaving the four-quark bound state as the only plausible explanation. The measured mass $4475 \pm 7^{+15}_{-25}$ MeV, width $172 \pm 13^{+37}_{-34}$ MeV, and amplitude fraction $(5.9 \pm 0.9^{+1.5}_{-3.3})\%$, are consistent with, but more precise than, the Belle results [28]. An analysis of the data using the model-independent approach developed by the BABAR collaboration [25] confirms the inconsistencies in the $Z(4430)^-$ region between the data and $K^+\pi^-$ states with $J \leq 2$. The D -wave contribution is found to be insignificant in $Z(4430)^-$ decays, as expected for a true state at such mass. The Argand diagram obtained for the $Z(4430)^-$ amplitude is consistent with the resonant behavior; among all observed candidates for charged four-quark states, this is the first to have its resonant character confirmed in this manner.

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, and FINEP (Brazil); NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 and

Region Auvergne (France); BMBF, DFG, HGF, and MPG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); FOM and NWO (Netherlands); SCSR (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MinES, Rosatom, RFBR and NRC “Kurchatov Institute” (Russia); MinEco, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC and the Royal Society (United Kingdom); NSF (USA). We also acknowledge the support received from EPLANET, Marie Curie Actions, and the ERC under FP7. The Tier1 computing centers are supported by IN2P3 (France), KIT, and BMBF (Germany), INFN (Italy), NWO and SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open source software packages on which we depend. We are also thankful for the computing resources and the access to software R&D tools provided by Yandex LLC (Russia).

-
- [1] S. Choi *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 142001 (2008).
- [2] The inclusion of charge-conjugate states is implied in this Letter. We use units in which $c = 1$.
- [3] M. Gell-Mann, *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 214 (1964).
- [4] J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 114002 (2007).
- [5] E. Braaten and M. Lu, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 051503 (2009).
- [6] K. Cheung, W.-Y. Keung, and T.-C. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 117501 (2007).
- [7] C. Meng and K.-T. Chao, [arXiv:0708.4222](#).
- [8] G.-J. Ding, [arXiv:0711.1485](#).
- [9] Y. Li, C.-D. Lu, and W. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 054001 (2008).
- [10] L. Maiani, A. Polosa, and V. Riquer, [arXiv:0708.3997](#).
- [11] C.-F. Qiao, *J. Phys. G* **35**, 075008 (2008).
- [12] X.-H. Liu, Q. Zhao, and F. E. Close, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 094005 (2008).
- [13] L. Maiani, A. Polosa, and V. Riquer, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 073004 (2008).
- [14] D. Bugg, *J. Phys. G* **35**, 075005 (2008).
- [15] T. Matsuki, T. Morii, and K. Sudoh, *Phys. Lett. B* **669**, 156 (2008).
- [16] M. Cardoso and P. Bicudo, [arXiv:0805.2260](#).
- [17] Y.-R. Liu and Z.-Y. Zhang, [arXiv:0908.1734](#).
- [18] T. Branz, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 054025 (2010).
- [19] G. Galata, *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 065203 (2011).
- [20] M. Nielsen and F. S. Navarra, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29**, 1430005 (2014).
- [21] R. Mizuk *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 072004 (2008).
- [22] M. Jacob and G. Wick, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **7**, 404 (1959).
- [23] J. D. Richman, CALT-68-1148, 1984.
- [24] S. U. Chung, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 431 (1998).
- [25] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 112001 (2009).
- [26] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 052003 (2012).
- [27] R. Mizuk *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 031104 (2009).
- [28] K. Chilikin *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 074026 (2013).
- [29] A. Bondar *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 122001 (2012).
- [30] A. Garmash *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), [arXiv:1403.0992](#).
- [31] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252002 (2013).
- [32] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 252001 (2013).
- [33] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 242001 (2013).
- [34] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 132001 (2014).
- [35] A. A. Alves, Jr. *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *JINST* **3**, S08005 (2008).
- [36] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 222001 (2013).
- [37] M. Clemencic, G. Corti, S. Easo, C. R. Jones, S. Miglioranza, M. Pappagallo, and P. Robbe, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **331**, 032023 (2011).
- [38] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 010001 (2012).
- [39] P. Estabrooks, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 2678 (1979).
- [40] D. Aston *et al.* (LASS Collaboration), *Nucl. Phys.* **B296**, 493 (1988).
- [41] F. James, *Statistical Methods in Experimental Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2006).
- [42] See, e.g., Sec. 10.5.2 of Ref. [41] on asymptotic distribution of $\Delta(-2 \ln L)$ for continuous families of hypotheses.
- [43] E. Gross and O. Vitells, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70**, 525 (2010).
- [44] With the mass and width floated in the fit a look-elsewhere effect must be taken into account.
- [45] D. J. Lange, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **462**, 152 (2001).
- [46] See Sec. 10.5.7 of Ref. [41] on testing separate hypotheses.

R. Aaij,⁴¹ B. Adeva,³⁷ M. Adinolfi,⁴⁶ A. Affolder,⁵² Z. Ajaltouni,⁵ J. Albrecht,⁹ F. Alessio,³⁸ M. Alexander,⁵¹ S. Ali,⁴¹ G. Alkhazov,³⁰ P. Alvarez Cartelle,³⁷ A. A. Alves Jr.,^{25,38} S. Amato,² S. Amerio,²² Y. Amhis,⁷ L. An,³ L. Anderlini,^{17,a} J. Anderson,⁴⁰ R. Andreassen,⁵⁷ M. Andreotti,^{16,b} J. E. Andrews,⁵⁸ R. B. Appleby,⁵⁴ O. Aquines Gutierrez,¹⁰ F. Archilli,³⁸ A. Artamonov,³⁵ M. Artuso,⁵⁹ E. Aslanides,⁶ G. Auriemma,^{25,c} M. Baalouch,⁵ S. Bachmann,¹¹ J. J. Back,⁴⁸ A. Badalov,³⁶ V. Balagura,³¹ W. Baldini,¹⁶ R. J. Barlow,⁵⁴ C. Barschel,³⁸ S. Barsuk,⁷ W. Barter,⁴⁷ V. Batozskaya,²⁸ Th. Bauer,⁴¹ A. Bay,³⁹ L. Beaucourt,⁴ J. Beddow,⁵¹ F. Bedeschi,²³ I. Bediaga,¹ S. Belogurov,³¹ K. Belous,³⁵ I. Belyaev,³¹ E. Ben-Haim,⁸ G. Bencivenni,¹⁸ S. Benson,³⁸ J. Benton,⁴⁶ A. Berezhnoy,³² R. Bernet,⁴⁰ M.-O. Bettler,⁴⁷ M. van Beuzekom,⁴¹ A. Bien,¹¹

- S. Bifani,⁴⁵ T. Bird,⁵⁴ A. Bizzeti,^{17,d} P. M. Bjørnstad,⁵⁴ T. Blake,⁴⁸ F. Blanc,³⁹ J. Blouw,¹⁰ S. Blusk,⁵⁹ V. Bocci,²⁵ A. Bondar,³⁴ N. Bondar,^{30,38} W. Bonivento,^{15,38} S. Borghi,⁵⁴ A. Borgia,⁵⁹ M. Borsato,⁷ T. J. V. Bowcock,⁵² E. Bowen,⁴⁰ C. Bozzi,¹⁶ T. Brambach,⁹ J. van den Brand,⁴² J. Bressieux,³⁹ D. Brett,⁵⁴ M. Britsch,¹⁰ T. Britton,⁵⁹ J. Brodzicka,⁵⁴ N. H. Brook,⁴⁶ H. Brown,⁵² A. Bursche,⁴⁰ G. Busetto,^{22,e} J. Buytaert,³⁸ S. Cadgeddu,¹⁵ R. Calabrese,^{16,b} M. Calvi,^{20,f} M. Calvo Gomez,^{36,g} A. Camboni,³⁶ P. Campana,^{18,38} D. Campora Perez,³⁸ A. Carbone,^{14,h} G. Carboni,^{24,i} R. Cardinale,^{19,38,j} A. Cardini,¹⁵ H. Carranza-Mejia,⁵⁰ L. Carson,⁵⁰ K. Carvalho Akiba,² G. Casse,⁵² L. Cassina,²⁰ L. Castillo Garcia,³⁸ M. Cattaneo,³⁸ Ch. Cauet,⁹ R. Cenci,⁵⁸ M. Charles,⁸ Ph. Charpentier,³⁸ S. Chen,⁵⁴ S.-F. Cheung,⁵⁵ N. Chiapolini,⁴⁰ M. Chrzasczcz,^{40,26} K. Ciba,³⁸ X. Cid Vidal,³⁸ G. Ciezarek,⁵³ P. E. L. Clarke,⁵⁰ M. Clemencic,³⁸ H. V. Cliff,⁴⁷ J. Closier,³⁸ V. Coco,³⁸ J. Cogan,⁶ E. Cogneras,⁵ P. Collins,³⁸ A. Comerma-Montells,¹¹ A. Contu,^{15,38} A. Cook,⁴⁶ M. Coombes,⁴⁶ S. Coquereau,⁸ G. Corti,³⁸ M. Corvo,^{16,b} I. Counts,⁵⁶ B. Couturier,³⁸ G. A. Cowan,⁵⁰ D. C. Craik,⁴⁸ M. Cruz Torres,⁶⁰ S. Cunliffe,⁵³ R. Currie,⁵⁰ C. D'Ambrosio,³⁸ J. Dalseno,⁴⁶ P. David,⁸ P. N. Y. David,⁴¹ A. Davis,⁵⁷ K. De Bruyn,⁴¹ S. De Capua,⁵⁴ M. De Cian,¹¹ J. M. De Miranda,¹ L. De Paula,² W. De Silva,⁵⁷ P. De Simone,¹⁸ D. Decamp,⁴ M. Deckenhoff,⁹ L. Del Buono,⁸ N. Déleage,⁴ D. Derkach,⁵⁵ O. Deschamps,⁵ F. Dettori,⁴² A. Di Canto,³⁸ H. Dijkstra,³⁸ S. Donleavy,⁵² F. Dordei,¹¹ M. Dorigo,³⁹ A. Dosil Suárez,³⁷ D. Dossett,⁴⁸ A. Dovbnya,⁴³ G. Dujany,⁵⁴ F. Dupertuis,³⁹ P. Durante,³⁸ R. Dzhelezhadine,³⁵ A. Dziurda,²⁶ A. Dzyuba,³⁰ S. Easo,^{49,38} U. Egede,⁵³ V. Egorychev,³¹ S. Eidelman,³⁴ S. Eisenhardt,⁵⁰ U. Eitschberger,⁹ R. Ekelhof,⁹ L. Eklund,^{51,38} I. El Rifai,⁵ Ch. Elsasser,⁴⁰ S. Ely,⁵⁹ S. Esen,¹¹ T. Evans,⁵⁵ A. Falabella,^{16,b} C. Färber,¹¹ C. Farinelli,⁴¹ N. Farley,⁴⁵ S. Farry,⁵² D. Ferguson,⁵⁰ V. Fernandez Albor,³⁷ F. Ferreira Rodrigues,¹ M. Ferro-Luzzi,³⁸ S. Filippov,³³ M. Fiore,^{16,b} M. Fiorini,^{16,b} M. Firlej,²⁷ C. Fitzpatrick,³⁸ T. Fiutowski,²⁷ M. Fontana,¹⁰ F. Fontanelli,^{19,j} R. Forty,³⁸ O. Francisco,² M. Frank,³⁸ C. Frei,³⁸ M. Frosini,^{17,38,a} J. Fu,^{21,38} E. Furfaro,^{24,i} A. Gallas Torreira,³⁷ D. Galli,^{14,h} S. Gallorini,²² S. Gambaetta,^{19,j} M. Gandelman,² P. Gandini,⁵⁹ Y. Gao,³ J. Garofoli,⁵⁹ J. Garra Tico,⁴⁷ L. Garrido,³⁶ C. Gaspar,³⁸ R. Gauld,⁵⁵ L. Gavardi,⁹ E. Gersabeck,¹¹ M. Gersabeck,⁵⁴ T. Gershon,⁴⁸ Ph. Ghez,⁴ A. Gianelle,²² S. Giani,³⁹ V. Gibson,⁴⁷ L. Giubega,²⁹ V. V. Gligorov,³⁸ C. Göbel,⁶⁰ D. Golubkov,³¹ A. Golutvin,^{53,31,38} A. Gomes,^{1,k} H. Gordon,³⁸ C. Gotti,²⁰ M. Grabalosa Gándara,⁵ R. Graciani Diaz,³⁶ L. A. Granado Cardoso,³⁸ E. Graugés,³⁶ G. Graziani,¹⁷ A. Grecu,²⁹ E. Greening,⁵⁵ S. Gregson,⁴⁷ P. Griffith,⁴⁵ L. Grillo,¹¹ O. Grünberg,⁶² B. Gui,⁵⁹ E. Gushchin,³³ Yu. Guz,^{35,38} T. Gys,³⁸ C. Hadjivasiliou,⁵⁹ G. Haefeli,³⁹ C. Haen,³⁸ S. C. Haines,⁴⁷ S. Hall,⁵³ B. Hamilton,⁵⁸ T. Hampson,⁴⁶ X. Han,¹¹ S. Hansmann-Menzemer,¹¹ N. Harnew,⁵⁵ S. T. Harnew,⁴⁶ J. Harrison,⁵⁴ T. Hartmann,⁶² J. He,³⁸ T. Head,³⁸ V. Heijne,⁴¹ K. Hennessy,⁵² P. Henrard,⁵ L. Henry,⁸ J. A. Hernando Morata,³⁷ E. van Herwijnen,³⁸ M. Heß,⁶² A. Hicheur,¹ D. Hill,⁵⁵ M. Hoballah,⁵ C. Hombach,⁵⁴ W. Hulsbergen,⁴¹ P. Hunt,⁵⁵ N. Hussain,⁵⁵ D. Hutchcroft,⁵² D. Hynds,⁵¹ M. Idzik,²⁷ P. Ilten,⁵⁶ R. Jacobsson,³⁸ A. Jaeger,¹¹ J. Jalocha,⁵⁵ E. Jans,⁴¹ P. Jaton,³⁹ A. Jawahery,⁵⁸ M. Jezabek,²⁶ F. Jing,³ M. John,⁵⁵ D. Johnson,⁵⁵ C. R. Jones,⁴⁷ C. Joram,³⁸ B. Jost,³⁸ N. Jurik,⁵⁹ M. Kabbalo,⁹ S. Kandybei,⁴³ W. Kalso,⁶ M. Karacson,³⁸ T. M. Karbach,³⁸ M. Kelsey,⁵⁹ I. R. Kenyon,⁴⁵ T. Ketel,⁴² B. Khanji,²⁰ C. Khurewathanakul,³⁹ S. Klaver,⁵⁴ O. Kochebina,⁷ M. Kolpin,¹¹ I. Komarov,³⁹ R. F. Koopman,⁴² P. Koppenburg,^{41,38} M. Korolev,³² A. Kozlinskiy,⁴¹ L. Kravchuk,³³ K. Kreplin,¹¹ M. Kreps,⁴⁸ G. Krocker,¹¹ P. Krokovny,³⁴ F. Kruse,⁹ M. Kucharczyk,^{20,26,38,f} V. Kudryavtsev,³⁴ K. Kurek,²⁸ T. Kvaratskheliya,³¹ V. N. La Thi,³⁹ D. Lacarrere,³⁸ G. Lafferty,⁵⁴ A. Lai,¹⁵ D. Lambert,⁵⁰ R. W. Lambert,⁴² E. Lanciotti,³⁸ G. Lanfranchi,¹⁸ C. Langenbruch,³⁸ B. Langhans,³⁸ T. Latham,⁴⁸ C. Lazzeroni,⁴⁵ R. Le Gac,⁶ J. van Leerdam,⁴¹ J.-P. Lees,⁴ R. Lefèvre,⁵ A. Leflat,³² J. Lefrançois,⁷ S. Leo,²³ O. Leroy,⁶ T. Lesiak,²⁶ B. Leverington,¹¹ Y. Li,³ M. Liles,⁵² R. Lindner,³⁸ C. Linn,³⁸ F. Lionetto,⁴⁰ B. Liu,¹⁵ G. Liu,³⁸ S. Lohn,³⁸ I. Longstaff,⁵¹ J. H. Lopes,² N. Lopez-March,³⁹ P. Lowdon,⁴⁰ H. Lu,³ D. Lucchesi,^{22,e} H. Luo,⁵⁰ A. Lupato,²² E. Luppi,^{16,b} O. Lupton,⁵⁵ F. Machefert,⁷ I. V. Machikhiliyan,³¹ F. Maciuc,²⁹ O. Maev,³⁰ S. Malde,⁵⁵ G. Manca,^{15,l} G. Mancinelli,⁶ M. Manzali,^{16,b} J. Maratas,⁵ J. F. Marchand,⁴ U. Marconi,¹⁴ C. Marin Benito,³⁶ P. Marino,^{23,m} R. Märki,³⁹ J. Marks,¹¹ G. Martellotti,²⁵ A. Martens,⁸ A. Martín Sánchez,⁷ M. Martinelli,⁴¹ D. Martinez Santos,⁴² F. Martinez Vidal,⁶⁴ D. Martins Tostes,² A. Massafferri,¹ R. Matev,³⁸ Z. Mathe,³⁸ C. Matteuzzi,²⁰ A. Mazurov,^{16,b} M. McCann,⁵³ J. McCarthy,⁴⁵ A. McNab,⁵⁴ R. McNulty,¹² B. McKelley,⁵² B. Meadows,^{57,55} F. Meier,⁹ M. Meissner,¹¹ M. Merk,⁴¹ D. A. Milanes,⁸ M.-N. Minard,⁴ N. Moggi,¹⁴ J. Molina Rodriguez,⁶⁰ S. Monteil,⁵ D. Moran,⁵⁴ M. Morandin,²² P. Morawski,²⁶ A. Mordà,⁶ M. J. Morello,^{23,m} J. Moron,²⁷ A.-B. Morris,⁵⁰ R. Mountain,⁵⁹ F. Muheim,⁵⁰ K. Müller,⁴⁰ R. Muresan,²⁹ M. Mussini,¹⁴ B. Muster,³⁹ P. Naik,⁴⁶ T. Nakada,³⁹ R. Nandakumar,⁴⁹ I. Nasteva,² M. Needham,⁵⁰ N. Neri,²¹ S. Neubert,³⁸ N. Neufeld,³⁸ M. Neuner,¹¹ A. D. Nguyen,³⁹ T. D. Nguyen,³⁹ C. Nguyen-Mau,^{39,n} M. Nicol,⁷ V. Niess,⁵ R. Niet,⁹ N. Nikitin,³² T. Nikodem,¹¹ A. Novoselov,³⁵ A. Oblakowska-Mucha,²⁷ V. Obraztsov,³⁵ S. Oggero,⁴¹ S. Ogilvy,⁵¹ O. Okhrimenko,⁴⁴ R. Oldeman,^{15,l} G. Onderwater,⁶⁵ M. Orlandea,²⁹ J. M. Otalora Goicochea,² P. Owen,⁵³ A. Oyanguren,⁶⁴ B. K. Pal,⁵⁹

A. Palano,^{13,o} F. Palombo,^{21,p} M. Palutan,¹⁸ J. Panman,³⁸ A. Papanestis,^{49,38} M. Pappagallo,⁵¹ C. Parkes,⁵⁴ C. J. Parkinson,⁹
 G. Passaleva,¹⁷ G. D. Patel,⁵² M. Patel,⁵³ C. Patrignani,^{19,j} A. Pazos Alvarez,³⁷ A. Pearce,⁵⁴ A. Pellegrino,⁴¹
 M. Pepe Altarelli,³⁸ S. Perazzini,^{14,h} E. Perez Trigo,³⁷ P. Perret,⁵ M. Perrin-Terrin,⁶ L. Pescatore,⁴⁵ E. Pesen,⁶⁶ K. Petridis,⁵³
 A. Petrolini,^{19,j} E. Picatoste Olloqui,³⁶ B. Pietrzyk,⁴ T. Pilar,⁴⁸ D. Pinci,²⁵ A. Pistone,¹⁹ S. Playfer,⁵⁰ M. Plo Casasus,³⁷
 F. Polci,⁸ A. Poluektov,^{48,34} E. Polycarpo,² A. Popov,³⁵ D. Popov,¹⁰ B. Popovici,²⁹ C. Potterat,² A. Powell,⁵⁵
 J. Prisciandaro,³⁹ A. Pritchard,⁵² C. Prouve,⁴⁶ V. Pugatch,⁴⁴ A. Puig Navarro,³⁹ G. Punzi,^{23,q} W. Qian,⁴ B. Rachwal,²⁶
 J. H. Rademacker,⁴⁶ B. Rakotomiarmanana,³⁹ M. Rama,¹⁸ M. S. Rangel,² I. Raniuk,⁴³ N. Rauschmayr,³⁸ G. Raven,⁴²
 S. Reichert,⁵⁴ M. M. Reid,⁴⁸ A. C. dos Reis,¹ S. Ricciardi,⁴⁹ A. Richards,⁵³ M. Rihl,³⁸ K. Rinnert,⁵² V. Rives Molina,³⁶
 D. A. Roa Romero,⁵ P. Robbe,⁷ A. B. Rodrigues,¹ E. Rodrigues,⁵⁴ P. Rodriguez Perez,⁵⁴ S. Roiser,³⁸ V. Romanovsky,³⁵
 A. Romero Vidal,³⁷ M. Rotondo,²² J. Rouvinet,³⁹ T. Ruf,³⁸ F. Ruffini,²³ H. Ruiz,³⁶ P. Ruiz Valls,⁶⁴ G. Sabatino,^{25,i}
 J. J. Saborido Silva,³⁷ N. Sagidova,³⁰ P. Sail,⁵¹ B. Saitta,^{15,l} V. Salustino Guimaraes,² C. Sanchez Mayordomo,⁶⁴
 B. Sanmartin Sedes,³⁷ R. Santacesaria,²⁵ C. Santamarina Rios,³⁷ E. Santovetti,^{24,i} M. Sapunov,⁶ A. Sarti,^{18,r} C. Satriano,^{25,c}
 A. Satta,²⁴ M. Savrie,^{16,b} D. Savrina,^{31,32} M. Schiller,⁴² H. Schindler,³⁸ M. Schlupp,⁹ M. Schmelling,¹⁰ B. Schmidt,³⁸
 O. Schneider,³⁹ A. Schopper,³⁸ M.-H. Schune,⁷ R. Schwemmer,³⁸ B. Sciascia,¹⁸ A. Sciubba,²⁵ M. Seco,³⁷ A. Semennikov,³¹
 K. Senderowska,²⁷ I. Sepp,⁵³ N. Serra,⁴⁰ J. Serrano,⁶ L. Sestini,²² P. Seyfert,¹¹ M. Shapkin,³⁵ I. Shapoval,^{16,43,b}
 Y. Shcheglov,³⁰ T. Shears,⁵² L. Shekhtman,³⁴ V. Shevchenko,⁶³ A. Shires,⁹ R. Silva Coutinho,⁴⁸ G. Simi,²² M. Sirendi,⁴⁷
 N. Skidmore,⁴⁶ T. Skwarnicki,⁵⁹ N. A. Smith,⁵² E. Smith,^{55,49} E. Smith,⁵³ J. Smith,⁴⁷ M. Smith,⁵⁴ H. Snoek,⁴¹
 M. D. Sokoloff,⁵⁷ F. J. P. Soler,⁵¹ F. Soomro,³⁹ D. Souza,⁴⁶ B. Souza De Paula,² B. Spaan,⁹ A. Sparkes,⁵⁰ F. Spinella,²³
 P. Spradlin,⁵¹ F. Stagni,³⁸ S. Stahl,¹¹ O. Steinkamp,⁴⁰ O. Stenyakin,³⁵ S. Stevenson,⁵⁵ S. Stoica,²⁹ S. Stone,⁵⁹ B. Storaci,⁴⁰
 S. Stracka,^{23,38} M. Straticiuc,²⁹ U. Straumann,⁴⁰ R. Stroili,²² V. K. Subbiah,³⁸ L. Sun,⁵⁷ W. Sutcliffe,⁵³ K. Swientek,²⁷
 S. Swientek,⁹ V. Syropoulos,⁴² M. Szczekowski,²⁸ P. Szczypka,^{39,38} D. Szilard,² T. Szumlak,²⁷ S. T'Jampens,⁴
 M. Teklishyn,⁷ G. Tellarini,^{16,b} F. Teubert,³⁸ C. Thomas,⁵⁵ E. Thomas,³⁸ J. van Tilburg,⁴¹ V. Tisserand,⁴ M. Tobin,³⁹
 S. Tolc,⁴² L. Tomassetti,^{16,b} D. Tonelli,³⁸ S. Topp-Joergensen,⁵⁵ N. Torr,⁵⁵ E. Tournefier,⁴ S. Tourneur,³⁹ M. T. Tran,³⁹
 M. Tresch,⁴⁰ A. Tsaregorodtsev,⁶ P. Tsopelas,⁴¹ N. Tuning,⁴¹ M. Ubeda Garcia,³⁸ A. Ukleja,²⁸ A. Ustyuzhanin,⁶³ U. Uwer,¹¹
 V. Vagnoni,¹⁴ G. Valenti,¹⁴ A. Vallier,⁷ R. Vazquez Gomez,¹⁸ P. Vazquez Regueiro,³⁷ C. Vázquez Sierra,³⁷ S. Vecchi,¹⁶
 J. J. Velthuis,⁴⁶ M. Veltri,^{17,s} G. Veneziano,³⁹ M. Vesterinen,¹¹ B. Viaud,⁷ D. Vieira,² M. Vieites Diaz,³⁷
 X. Vilasis-Cardona,^{36,g} A. Vollhardt,⁴⁰ D. Volyanskyy,¹⁰ D. Voong,⁴⁶ A. Vorobyev,³⁰ V. Vorobyev,³⁴ C. Voß,⁶² H. Voss,¹⁰
 J. A. de Vries,⁴¹ R. Waldi,⁶² C. Wallace,⁴⁸ R. Wallace,¹² J. Walsh,²³ S. Wandernoth,¹¹ J. Wang,⁵⁹ D. R. Ward,⁴⁷
 N. K. Watson,⁴⁵ D. Websdale,⁵³ M. Whitehead,⁴⁸ J. Wicht,³⁸ D. Wiedner,¹¹ G. Wilkinson,⁵⁵ M. P. Williams,⁴⁵
 M. Williams,⁵⁶ F. F. Wilson,⁴⁹ J. Wimberley,⁵⁸ J. Wishahi,⁹ W. Wislicki,²⁸ M. Witek,²⁶ G. Wormser,⁷
 S. A. Wotton,⁴⁷ S. Wright,⁴⁷ S. Wu,³ K. Wyllie,³⁸ Y. Xie,⁶¹ Z. Xing,⁵⁹ Z. Xu,³⁹ Z. Yang,³ X. Yuan,³
 O. Yushchenko,³⁵ M. Zangoli,¹⁴ M. Zavertyaev,^{10,t} F. Zhang,³ L. Zhang,⁵⁹ W. C. Zhang,¹² Y. Zhang,³
 A. Zhelezov,¹¹ A. Zhokhov,³¹ L. Zhong,³ and A. Zvyagin³⁸

(LHCb Collaboration)

¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil²Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil³Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China⁴LAPP, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-Le-Vieux, France⁵Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France⁶CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France⁷LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France⁸LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France⁹Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany¹⁰Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany¹¹Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany¹²School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland¹³Sezione INFN di Bari, Bari, Italy¹⁴Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy¹⁵Sezione INFN di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy¹⁶Sezione INFN di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

- ¹⁷Sezione INFN di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
- ¹⁸Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- ¹⁹Sezione INFN di Genova, Genova, Italy
- ²⁰Sezione INFN di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy
- ²¹Sezione INFN di Milano, Milano, Italy
- ²²Sezione INFN di Padova, Padova, Italy
- ²³Sezione INFN di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ²⁴Sezione INFN di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- ²⁵Sezione INFN di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy
- ²⁶Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland
- ²⁷AGH—University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland
- ²⁸National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland
- ²⁹Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
- ³⁰Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia
- ³¹Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- ³²Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia
- ³³Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAN), Moscow, Russia
- ³⁴Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS) and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ³⁵Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia
- ³⁶Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ³⁷Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- ³⁸European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
- ³⁹Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁴⁰Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
- ⁴¹Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁴²Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁴³NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine
- ⁴⁴Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine
- ⁴⁵University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁶H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁷Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁸Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁹STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁰School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- ⁵¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
- ⁵²Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ⁵³Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁵Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁶Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
- ⁵⁷University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
- ⁵⁸University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA
- ⁵⁹Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA
- ⁶⁰Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
(associated with Institution Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)
- ⁶¹Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China
(associated with Institution Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China)
- ⁶²Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany (associated with Institution Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)
- ⁶³National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia (associated with Institution Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia)
- ⁶⁴Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Universitat de Valencia-CSIC, Valencia, Spain
(associated with Institution Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain)
- ⁶⁵KVI—University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands (associated with Institution Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands)
- ⁶⁶Celal Bayar University, Manisa, Turkey (associated with Institution European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland)

^aAlso at Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy.^bAlso at Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.

^cAlso at Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.

^dAlso at Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy.

^eAlso at Università di Padova, Padova, Italy.

^fAlso at Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy.

^gAlso at LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain.

^hAlso at Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

ⁱAlso at Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy.

^jAlso at Università di Genova, Genova, Italy.

^kAlso at Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil.

^lAlso at Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy.

^mAlso at Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy.

ⁿAlso at Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam.

^oAlso at Università di Bari, Bari, Italy.

^pAlso at Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy.

^qAlso at Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

^rAlso at Università di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy.

^sAlso at Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy.

^tAlso at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia.